Case 5:10-cr-00388-RMW Document 5 Filed 05/18/10 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

10-00388 PMW Case Number 10mi70384HRI

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

v. ARTURO ROCHA-NAREZ, Defendant

AUSA ___, ATTY ____, PTS ___

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on May 18, 2010. Defendant

in accordance with the Ban Reform Flet, 10 c.s.c. 3 51 (2), a continue in the	
was present, represented by his attorney Mary Conn. The United States was represented	d by Assistant U.S. Attorney David Paxton_
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f	(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for	a federal, state or local offense, and a
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the rel	ease of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of	conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Pa	art IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	
A for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or n	nore is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commi	ssion of the bry.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of	conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	MAY 1 8 2010
No presumption applies.	
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	RICHARD W. WIEKING
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the	applicaCLE the property of SCALIFORNIA
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE / / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the therefore will be ordered detained.	NORTHER SAN JOSE
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable pro	esumption[s] to wit: .
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	
PART-III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)	
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no	condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR	
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no co	ondition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
PART IV WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENT	TION
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.	
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designation	ated representative for confinement in a
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sen	tences or being held in custody pending appeal.
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with def	fense counsel. On order of a court of the
Inited States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of	the corrections facility shall deliver the
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection wi	th a court proceeding.
	24
Dated: 5 18 10 HOWARDR. ZLOYD	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
United States Magistrate Jud	lge \